# Distributive Justice and Locus of Control as Correlates of Correctional Officers' Well-being

Mayerick P. Garcia and Nestor C. Nabe

# **ABSTRACT**

This study examined the influence of distributive justice and locus of control on the occupational well-being of correctional officers at the Davao Prison and Penal Farm (DPPF) in Panabo City, Philippines. Employing a nonexperimental, quantitative-correlational research design, the study surveyed 300 correctional officers selected through random sampling based on predefined inclusion criteria. Standardized instruments were administered via survey, and the data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson's r, and multiple regression analysis. Results revealed high levels of perceived distributive justice and occupational well-being, while locus of control was rated at a moderate level. A strong positive correlation was found between distributive justice and occupational well-being, and a weak positive correlation was observed between locus of control and occupational wellbeing. Furthermore, the combined influence of distributive justice and locus of control was found to significantly predict occupational well-being. These findings underscore the importance of equitable organizational practices and psychological agency in enhancing employee well-being within correctional institutions.

**Keywords:** criminal justice, distributive justice, locus of control, occupational wellbeing, correctional officers, Davao Prison and Penal Farm, Philippines.

#### M. P. Garcia

College of Criminal Justice Education, Jose Maria College Foundation, Inc. and Professional Schools, University of Mindanao, Davao City, Philippines. (e-mail:

m.garcia.485463@umindanao.edu.ph)

N. C. Nabe\*

Professional Schools, University Mindanao, Davao City, Philippines. (e-mail: nestor\_nabe@umindanao.edu.ph)

\*Corresponding Author

**Submitted:** February 19, 2025 Published: May 22, 2025

Turnitin: 10% Grammarly: 98%

#### © (1) (S) CC BY-NC 4.0

PAGSJ is licensed under a Creative Attribution-Noncommercial Commons **4.0 International.** Authors grant the publisher an exclusive publication right but retain copyright in their article.

# I. INTRODUCTION

The occupational well-being of correctional officers has emerged as a critical concern within the broader discourse on public sector workforce management, particularly in correctional governance systems. In the context of penal institutions, occupational well-being encompasses the physical safety, mental health, and job satisfaction of personnel responsible for institutional order (Norman maintaining Ricciardelli, 2022; Stinglhamber et al., 2022). However, the unique stressors confronting correctional officers—including overcrowding, exposure to violence, psychological threats, and under-resourced facilities—pose significant risks performance institutional and implementation (e.g., Bowling, 2022; Harrison et al., 2024; McQueen, 2024; Saah, 2024). These challenges represent systemic governance failures that undermine the ability of correctional agencies to deliver on their mandates while ensuring the well-being of frontline personnel.

Beyond environmental stressors, correctional officers often contend with organizational constraints such as inadequate support mechanisms, ambiguous role expectations, and restricted autonomy (Lambert et al., 2021; McPherson & Rudes, 2022; Wills et al., 2022). These structural barriers, compounded by limited decision-making participation in processes, highlight critical gaps in internal governance systems and labor-management relations. Left unaddressed, these deficiencies in administrative support can erode morale, diminish performance, and compromise the delivery of secure and within rehabilitative services correctional institutions (Bayandalai, 2023; Cerrato, 2024; Mason, 2023). This creates a public management dilemma: how can government agencies balance institutional accountability with the ethical responsibility to protect the occupational health of civil servants?

Recognizing occupational well-being as a public value, recent studies emphasize the need for integrative strategies within bureaucratic systems to mitigate workplace fatigue and stress. These include administrative reforms such as microbreaks, flexible scheduling, and performancebased wellness programs that promote resilience and efficiency (Albulescu et al., 2022; Barbieri et al., 2024; Bhagwagar, 2021). Such strategies reinforce the state's duty to provide safe, dignified, and effective working environments, aligning with the normative principles of good governance, particularly transparency, responsiveness, human-centered policy design.

Within the organizational justice framework, distributive justice serves as a salient predictor of employee well-being and performance. Fair allocation of workloads, compensation, and career advancement opportunities fosters a culture of meritocracy, equity, and institutional loyalty (Dandar & Lautenberger, 2021; Thompson-2024). Conversely, perceptions Powell, inequity can fuel dissent, reduce engagement, and lead to occupational disengagement—issues that directly affect the stability and governance of institutions (Palumbo, public 2024). In settings, where discretion correctional and discipline are paramount, fostering distributive justice is not merely a managerial preference but a public administration necessity (Felix et al., 2023; Waza & Ekambaker, 2024).

Complementing this is the psychological construct of locus of control, which profoundly shapes how public employees interpret their roles within bureaucratic structures (Kay, Rogger & Sen, 2020). An internal locus of control—a belief that outcomes are contingent upon personal effort and initiative—correlates strongly with enhanced satisfaction, adaptability, and proactive behavior in high-stress environments (Anderson, 2016; Hyatt, 1995). This trait is particularly relevant in correctional governance, officers must continuously navigate authority structures, institutional risks, and fluctuating public expectations. By contrast, personnel with an external locus of control may require greater administrative oversight and support, emphasizing the need for differentiated human resource strategies in the public sector (Galvin et al., 2018).

The case of correctional institutions in Davao Region presents a compelling policy imperative. Correctional officers serve not only as security agents but also as stewards of rehabilitative justice. Their well-being directly influences institutional integrity, inmate outcomes, and the credibility of the state's correctional apparatus. Yet despite their centrality in the criminal justice system, limited empirical attention has been paid to the interaction between organizational justice, psychological agency, and occupational wellbeing within the governance context of Philippine correctional institutions.

Distributive justice, as situated within Adam's (2015) equity theory, reinforces the importance of fair treatment and rewards in maintaining institutional harmony and public trust (Mulgund, 2022; Stivers et al., 2023). Similarly, literature on public service motivation (e.g., Adinew, 2024; Honig, 2021; Molines et al., 2022; Siahaan et al., 2024) suggests that employees embedded in just and supportive environments are more likely to align their efforts with institutional missions, thereby enhancing policy implementation and accountability. Conversely, perceived injustices and restricted autonomy may contribute to absenteeism, mental health deterioration, and high turnover rates, thus burdening the system with inefficiencies and increased fiscal pressures (Alkhudhayr & Aljabr, 2024; Cavalieri, 2023; Ha & Moon, 2023). Meanwhile, emerging evidence in behavioral public administration highlights how locus of control modulates civil servants' capacity to navigate administrative stressors and maintain role efficacy (Dagasan et al., 2023; Abdalla & 2021). Suliman, Understanding how psychological orientation interacts with institutional culture offers practical insights for designing responsive interventions that support workforce resilience in high-risk environments like correctional facilities.

To date, however, empirical studies on the combined influence of distributive justice and locus of control on occupational well-being remain limited, especially within the Philippine correctional system. This gap becomes even more pronounced in Davao Region, where resource limitations, political dynamics, and institutional heterogeneity compound the challenge of effective governance. Hence, this study aims to explore the nexus between distributive justice, locus of control, and occupational well-being among correctional officers in Davao Region. Framed within the paradigm of ethical governance and public administration reform, the research

how institutional fairness and interrogates individual agency shape the operational effectiveness and psychological sustainability of correctional institutions. Specifically, the study seeks to: (1) measure the perceived level of distributive justice; (2) assess locus of control orientations; (3) evaluate the level of occupational well-being; (4) determine the correlations among the constructs; and (5) ascertain the extent to which distributive justice and locus of control influence occupational well-being both individually and interactively.

The study hopes to contribute to the governance literature on ethical work environments, institutional justice, and public sector human resource management. Findings are expected to inform policy reforms aimed at enhancing the performance, retention, and well-being correctional personnel—thereby reinforcing the state's capacity to ensure humane, efficient, and accountable correctional services.

#### II. METHOD

# A. Participants

The study involved 300 uniformed correctional officers currently assigned at the Davao Prison and Penal Farm (DPPF) in Panabo City, Philippines. The sample size was determined using Slovin's formula, a statistical method widely employed to calculate appropriate sample sizes from large populations (Anugraheni et al., To ensure representativeness, researchers employed stratified random sampling, which involves dividing the population into distinct subgroups or strata before selecting participants. This method is especially effective when dealing with heterogeneous populations, as it enhances the precision and reliability of statistical estimates (Stehman, 2012).

Eligible participants included both Commissioned and Non-Commissioned uniformed correctional officers who were actively serving at DPPF during the data collection period. Excluded from the sample were uniformed officers who were on leave, as well as nonuniformed staff and job order personnel employed at the facility. The research site, formerly known as the Davao Penal Colony (DaPeCol), is located in Panabo City. Officially established on January 21, 1932, through Act No. 3732, the DPPF was once the largest penal institution in the Philippines during World War II. It originally covered 30,000 hectares, with 8,000 hectares designated as prison reservation. Inmates at the facility are also engaged in agricultural labor, particularly on the 5,308.36-hectare banana plantation operated by Tagum Agricultural Development Company, Inc. (TADECO).

#### B. Research Instrument

The study employed standardized instruments to measure the variables of distributive justice, locus of control, and occupational wellbeing. Each instrument was adapted and modified from previously published and validated sources to ensure contextual relevance and conceptual clarity. The instrument for distributive justice consisted of 12 items distributed across four core indicators: equality, need, equity, and entitlement. Locus of control was measured using 23 items categorized under two dimensions: internal and external locus of control. Occupational wellbeing was assessed through a 21-item scale measuring four key dimensions: working conditions, worker workload, working community, and professional competence.

All scales underwent content validation to ascertain their appropriateness, clarity, and alignment with the objectives of the study. The overall assessment of the instruments yielded high validity ratings. Prior to full-scale data collection, a pilot test was conducted with a sample of 40 respondents to establish internal consistency. Reliability analysis using Cronbach's alpha yielded coefficients of 0.833 for distributive justice, 0.931 for locus of control, and 0.964 for occupational wellbeing. These values indicate excellent internal reliability for all constructs, exceeding the generally accepted threshold of 0.70 for social science research.

To quantify participant responses, a five-point Likert-type scale was utilized. Responses were rated as follows: 5 (Very High), ranging from 4.20 to 5.00; 4 (High), from 3.40 to 4.19; 3 (Moderate), from 2.60 to 3.39; 2 (Low), from 1.80 to 2.59; and 1 (Very Low), from 1.00 to 1.79. These ranges were designed to capture the intensity and frequency of agreement with each item statement. The use of this scaling approach allowed for a nuanced analysis of perceptions across variables and facilitated the interpretation of mean scores in subsequent statistical procedures. This rigorous process of instrument development, validation, and testing was undertaken to ensure the reliability and validity of the data collected, thereby enhancing the overall methodological robustness of the study.

### C. Research Design

This study employed a quantitative, nonexperimental approach utilizing a descriptivecorrelational research design to investigate the relationship between distributive justice, locus of control, and occupational well-being among correctional officers. The quantitative method was selected for its systematic capability to collect and analyze numerical data to identify patterns, associations, and potential predictive relationships among variables of interest. As defined by Bhandari (2023), this approach supports empirical investigation through statistical techniques, allowing the researcher to draw meaningful inferences from observable data. The nonexperimental nature of the study indicates that variables were not manipulated nor were randomly assigned participants to groups, consistent with the ethical and logistical considerations inherent in real-world institutional research (Chiang et al., 2015).

A descriptive-correlational design was deemed appropriate given the study's aim to describe prevailing conditions and to assess the strength and direction of the relationship between independent variables—distributive justice and locus of control—and the dependent variable, occupational well-being. As emphasized by Curtis et al. (2016), correlational research is instrumental in understanding the prevalence and association between variables, while also serving as a basis for future causal inquiries. This design is wellsuited to public sector studies where experimental control may not be feasible, and where the emphasis is on evaluating systemic relationships and governance-related phenomena as naturally occur.

Data were collected through a structured survey questionnaire, ensuring a standardized method of gathering information from a large sample while minimizing disruption to the correctional officers' work routines. The instrument was administered in person, allowing for clarifications promoting higher response accuracy. The survey captured multi-dimensional measures of the study's variables and was piloted for reliability and validity prior to distribution. The use of descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, and multiple regression provided a robust analytical framework for interpreting the data and assessing the predictive strength of the independent variables.

For the data collection procedure, formal coordination was established with management of the Davao Prison and Penal Farm (DPPF). Upon validation of the research instrument, a formal letter of request was submitted to the Acting Superintendent, duly endorsed by the Dean of the University of Professional Mindanao's Schools. seeking permission to conduct the study. Following approval, logistical arrangements were made with the Administrative Officer of DPPF to facilitate the systematic and ethical distribution of the questionnaires to qualified uniformed personnel. Face-to-face administration was permitted due to the easing of pandemic-related restrictions, ensuring an efficient and controlled data collection process.

Completed questionnaires were collected, screened for completeness, and encoded for analysis. Only valid and complete responses were included in the final dataset. The data were encoded using Microsoft Excel and analyzed in JAMOVI 2.4.8 software. To analyze the data, the study employed three primary statistical tools: mean, Pearson r, and multiple regression analysis. The mean was used to describe the perceived levels of distributive justice, locus of control, and occupational well-being among correctional officers. Pearson r correlation assessed the strength and direction of the relationships between distributive justice and occupational well-being, as well as between locus of control and occupational well-being. Finally, multiple regression analysis was employed to evaluate the extent to which distributive justice and locus of control, both individually and collectively, significantly influence occupational well-being. The findings were then synthesized, discussed, and interpreted in subsequent sections of the study.

### D. Ethical Considerations

Subsequently, the proponents submitted all the

documentary requirements to University of Mindanao Ethics Review Committee (UMERC) to secure their approval in the data collection. Approval was released under protocol number UMERC-2023-479.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# A. Distributive Justice of the Correctional Officers

As presented in Table 1, the overall level of distributive justice perceived by correctional officers at the Davao Prison and Penal Farm was high (M = 3.99, SD = 0.75). This indicates that the principles of distributive justice were often observed and experienced by the respondents. The relatively low standard deviation suggests that there was minimal variability in responses, implying a general agreement among participants.

Among the four dimensions assessed, the equity indicator received the highest mean score (M = 4.23, SD = 0.79), interpreted as very high, suggesting that correctional officers strongly agreed that fairness based on individual contribution is consistently practiced in the organization. Conversely, the equality dimension registered the lowest mean score (M = 3.62, SD =1.01), though still categorized as high. This result indicates that while equal treatment was often experienced, perceptions varied more widely among respondents compared to indicators.

The findings align with previous literature emphasizing the positive effects of perceived distributive justice on employee performance and morale. Bala Subramanian et al. (2022) found that employees who perceive fair distribution of resources are more likely to go beyond transactional norms, engaging in voluntary, prosocial behaviors that reflect gratitude and loyalty to the organization. Similarly, Hamdani (2022)emphasized that well-implemented distributive justice significantly enhances individual work performance. Gündüz, Duyar and Örnekli (2020) also asserted that distributive justice contributes positively to psychological well-being and workplace harmony.

Taken together, these results suggest that the correctional officers perceive generally favorable environment with regard to distributive

TABLE I: LEVEL OF DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE OF THE CORRECTIONAL

OFFICERS						
Indicators	Mean	SD	Descriptive Level			
equality	3.62	1.013	High			
need	4.14	0.883	High			
equity	4.23	0.790	Very high			
entitlement	3.98	0.834	High			
Distributive Justice	3.99	0.747	High			

justice, particularly in terms of equitable practices. This has potential implications for strengthening organizational commitment, improving satisfaction, and enhancing operational efficiency within correctional institutions.

### B. Locus of Control of the Correctional Officers

Table 2 presents the perceived level of locus of control among correctional officers within the Davao Prison and Penal Farm. The overall mean score for the locus of control was 3.23 (SD = 0.35), which falls under the moderate descriptive level. The low standard deviation indicates a high level of agreement among respondents in their perceptions, suggesting consistency in how locus of control is experienced within the organization.

A closer inspection of the two subdimensions reveals a pronounced disparity between internal and external orientations. The internal locus of control registered a very high mean score of 4.48 (SD = 0.56), indicating that correctional officers strongly agreed that they possess autonomy in their roles and are afforded opportunities for personal and professional growth. This suggests a work culture that promotes independence, initiative-taking, and self-efficacy. On contrary, the external locus of control scored low (M = 1.98, SD = 0.78), indicating that officers generally do not attribute their success or work outcomes to external factors such as luck, chance, authoritative supervision. This relationship supports the dominance of internal locus of control in the organizational culture.

These findings underscore a psychologically empowered workforce capable of operating with minimal supervision while remaining motivated and accountable. The results echo the findings of Dagasan, Kitapci and Kilic (2023), who asserted that employees with a strong internal locus of control are more likely to seek autonomy, exhibit initiative, and embrace opportunities for skill development. Murthy, Nigam and Tapas (2020) similarly noted that such individuals are more

TABLE II. I EVEL OF LOCUS OF CONTROL OF THE

TABLE II. LEVEL OF LOCUS OF CONTROL OF THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS						
Indicators	Mean	SD	Descriptive Level			
external LoC	1.98	0.776	Low			
internal LoC	4.48	0.564	Very High			
Locus of Control	3.23	0.349	Moderate			

inclined toward problem-solving behaviors and are resilient in high-pressure work environments. Moreover, Reknes et al. (2019) observed that employees with internal locus of control tend to exhibit better health and workplace wellbeing compared to those with external orientations.

Taken together, the high level of internal locus of control suggests a positive governance environment in which correctional officers perceive themselves as active agents in shaping their work outcomes. This aligns with public administration values that emphasize autonomy, competency, and accountability in frontline public servants. Furthermore, fostering internal control beliefs may serve as a buffer against occupational stress and enhance organizational performance, reinforcing the importance of psychological empowerment in correctional governance systems.

# C. Occupational Well-being of the Correctional Officers

Table 3 presents the perceived level of occupational wellbeing among correctional officers. The overall mean score for occupational wellbeing was 4.08 (SD=0.55), which falls within the "high" descriptive level. This suggests that, in general, correctional officers perceive themselves as physically and mentally well, safe, and satisfied in their workplace. The relatively low standard deviation indicates homogeneity in the responses, suggesting a shared experience of wellbeing among the respondents.

An examination of the subdimensions shows that professional competence received the highest mean rating (M=4.16, SD=0.60), indicating that correctional officers strongly believe in their capability to fulfill their professional duties with confidence and adequacy. Conversely, dimension worker and work had the lowest mean score (M=3.97, SD=0.67), though still within the high descriptive range. This suggests that while officers feel competent, there may be marginally regarding workload lower perceptions management and the nature of work tasks.

These findings indicate that correctional officers in the Davao Prison and Penal Farm experience a generally supportive and health-promoting work

TABLE III: LEVEL OF LOCUS OF CONTROL OF THE CORRECTIONAL

	OFFICERS		
Indicators	Mean	SD	Descriptive Level
working conditions	4.06	0.659	High
worker and work	3.97	0.667	High
working community	4.12	0.684	High
professional competence	4.16	0.599	High
Occupational Wellbeing	4.08	0.547	High

environment, contributing to their occupational wellbeing. The results affirm previous literature such as Gündüz et al. (2020), which demonstrated that organizational environments characterized by fairness and justice—particularly distributive justice—tend improve employees' to psychological and workplace wellbeing. This alignment reflects the importance of justice-based governance practices in public institutions, especially in high-stress environments such as correctional facilities.

Furthermore, the results are consistent with Sutton (2021), who found that occupational wellbeing is positively influenced by individuals with internal locus of control. Officers who perceive themselves as in control of their work situations tend to report higher levels of job satisfaction, performance, and organizational commitment. Similarly, Murthy et al. (2020) emphasized that individuals with strong internal orientation tend to build positive workplace relationships and adopt more considerate, community-oriented behaviors-traits beneficial correctional environments where interdependence and mutual respect are essential.

In the context of public administration and results underscore governance, the significance of cultivating occupational wellbeing as a dimension of public service professionalism. High levels of wellbeing among correctional officers contribute not only to institutional efficiency but also to ethical service delivery, workforce stability, and citizen-centered reform in carceral systems.

# D. Correlation between Distributive Justice and Occupational Wellbeing

Table 4 presents the correlation analysis between distributive justice and occupational wellbeing. The results reveal a strong positive and significant relationship statistically between overall distributive justice and occupational wellbeing (r = .700, p < .001). Among the individual dimensions, the strongest correlations were observed between working community and

TABLE IV: PAIRWISE CORRELATION RESULTS FOR DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE AND OCCUPATIONAL WELLBEING INDICATORS

	equality	need	equity	entitlement	Distributive Justice
working conditions	0.511 ***	0.493 ***	0.520 ***	0.473 ***	0.589 ***
	<.001	<.001	<.001	< .001	<.001
worker and work	0.574 ***	0.522 ***	0.489 ***	0.431 ***	0.598 ***
	<.001	<.001	<.001	< .001	<.001
working community	0.672 ***	0.686 ***	0.655 ***	0.510 ***	0.746 ***
	<.001	<.001	<.001	< .001	<.001
professional competence	0.359 ***	0.360 ***	0.293 ***	0.310 ***	0.393 ***
	<.001	<.001	<.001	< .001	<.001
Occupational Wellbeing	0.637 ***	0.620 ***	0.590 ***	0.518 ***	0.700 ***
	<.001	<.001	<.001	< .001	< .001

distributive justice (r = .746, p < .001), and worker and work (r = .598, p < .001), suggesting that perceptions of fairness are particularly influential in shaping interpersonal relations and task performance among correctional officers.

These findings support previous research emphasizing the role of distributive justice in enhancing employee wellbeing. Studies by Saha, Cerchione and Singh (2019) and Ho and Chan (2022) note that fair allocation of rewards and responsibilities contributes to a psychologically safe and supportive environment, particularly in high-stress professions such as correctional work. Within the framework of the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, distributive justice functions as a key organizational resource, mitigating the negative effects of stressors and improving employee resilience and motivation (Cao, Chen & Khuangga, 2023).

Furthermore, the findings align with public administration principles that highlight equity, inclusion, and employee welfare as essential components of ethical governance. As noted by Nuzula and Nurmaya (2020) and Hamdani (2022), perceived fairness enhances employee morale, organizational loyalty, and discretionary effort outcomes that contribute to institutional efficiency and public trust. In correctional settings, where occupational risks are high and administrative structures are hierarchical, promoting distributive justice serves not only employee wellbeing but also broader goals of organizational accountability and human-centered governance.

# E. Correlation between Locus of Control and Occupational Wellbeing

Table 5 presents the correlation results between locus of control and occupational wellbeing among correctional officers. The findings indicate a statistically significant but weak positive correlation (r = .212, p < .001), suggesting that locus of control is associated with occupational wellbeing, albeit to a limited extent. More specifically, internal locus of control showed a strong positive relationship with occupational wellbeing (r = .663, p < .001), while external locus of control was negatively associated (r = -.291, p < .001). These results suggest that officers who perceive themselves as having greater control over their professional circumstances are more likely to experience higher levels of workplace wellbeing, whereas those who attribute outcomes to external forces report lower wellbeing.

This pattern affirms the theoretical proposition that internal locus of control fosters greater selfefficacy, motivation. and resilience—key psychological resources in demanding work environments such as correctional facilities (Murthy, 2020; Sutton, 2021). Empirically, Naz et al. (2020) found similar moderate correlations, emphasizing that while locus of control is relevant, it functions in interaction with broader organizational factors such as leadership, job structure, and support systems. In governance settings like correctional institutions, where structural constraints are pronounced, internal locus of control may empower personnel to exercise discretion and agency in managing occupational stressors.

Conversely, the weak association for external locus of control reinforces findings by Reknes et al. (2019) and Thompson and Prottas (2020), who observed that employees attributing success to external circumstances are more vulnerable to stress, burnout, and reduced psychological

TABLE V: PAIRWISE CORRELATION RESULTS FOR LOCUS OF CONTROL AND OCCUPATIONAL WELLBEING INDICATORS

	external locus of control	internal locus of control	Locus of Control	
working conditions	-0.209 ***	0.493 ***	0.166 **	
	<.001	<.001	0.004	
worker and work	-0.246 ***	0.543 ***	0.166 **	
	<.001	<.001	0.004	
working community	-0.432 ***	0.697 ***	0.083	
	<.001	<.001	0.153	
professional competence	-0.068	0.481 ***	0.313 ***	
	0.241	<.001	<.001	
Occupational Wellbeing	-0.291 ***	0.663 ***	0.212 ***	
	<.001	<.001	<.001	

wellbeing. While in high-stress environments, external locus of control can serve as a psychological coping mechanism, its protective capacity appears limited in environments that demand consistent decision-making and selfregulation, such as correctional settings.

Overall, the data supports the rejection of the affirming hypothesis, statistically null meaningful, albeit modest, relationship between locus of control and occupational wellbeing. These findings underscore the need for integrated personnel management approaches in public institutions—ones that combine personality development with institutional reforms that promote autonomy, fairness, and meaningful engagement.

# F. Distributive Justice and Locus of Control as Predictors of Occupational Wellbeing

Table 6 presents the model fit indices for two hierarchical regression models assessing the predictors of occupational wellbeing among correctional officers. In Model 1, which includes the four dimensions of distributive justice equality, need, equity, and entitlement—the model explains approximately 49.8% of the variance in occupational wellbeing ( $R^2 = .498$ , F(4, 295) =73.10, p < .001). This suggests that perceived fairness in resource distribution alone accounts for nearly half of the variation in the officers' sense of wellbeing in the workplace.

Model 2, which incorporates both distributive justice and the two dimensions of locus of control (internal and external), shows a notable improvement in predictive power, accounting for 58.3% of the variance in occupational wellbeing  $(R^2 = .583, F(6, 293) = 68.40, p < .001)$ . The

increase in explained variance ( $\Delta R^2 = .085$ ) indicates that the inclusion of psychological dispositions related to control further enhances the explanatory capacity of the model. These findings occupational wellbeing affirm that multifaceted construct influenced not only by structural fairness but also by employees' internal beliefs about control and agency in their work environment.

TABLE VI: FIT MEASURES FOR REGRESSION MODELS 1 AND 2

					Overall Model Test			
	R	$\mathbb{R}^2$	$\Delta R^{2}$	F	df1	df2	p	
1 2	0.705 0.764	0.498 0.583	0.491 0.575	73.1 68.4	4	295 293	<.001 <.001	

As shown in Table 7, in Model 1, all justice subdimensions except entitlement significantly predicted occupational wellbeing. Equality ( $\beta$  = 0.1778, p < .001), equity ( $\beta = 0.1711$ , p < .001), and need ( $\beta = 0.0996$ , p = .024) were positively wellbeing, associated with indicating officers who perceived correctional their organization as fair in distributing opportunities and rewards experienced greater levels of professional wellness.

Upon the introduction of locus of control variables in Model 2, both internal ( $\beta = 0.3815$ , p < .001) and external locus of control ( $\beta = 0.1280$ , p < .001) emerged as significant predictors, though the directionality of the latter warrants interpretive caution. While external locus of control was positively associated occupational wellbeing, this may reflect a coping

TABLE VII: MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS SHOWING THE COMBINED EFFECTS OF DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE AND LOCUS OF CONTROL ON

Predictor	В	SE	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	t	р
Model 1						
(Intercept)	2.08	0.13	1.82	2.35	15.64	< .001
equality	0.18	0.04	0.11	0.25	4.94	< .001
need	0.10	0.04	0.01	0.19	2.26	.024
equity	0.17	0.04	0.09	0.26	4.01	< .001
entitlement	0.05	0.04	-0.02	0.13	1.45	.148
Model 2						
(Intercept)	0.62	0.24	0.15	1.09	2.60	.010
equality	0.15	0.03	0.08	0.21	4.47	< .001
need	0.05	0.04	-0.03	0.14	1.31	.192
equity	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.20	2.69	.007
entitlement	0.06	0.03	-0.00	0.13	1.85	.065
external locus of control	0.13	0.03	0.06	0.19	3.91	< .001
internal locus of control	0.38	0.05	0.28	0.49	7.28	< .001

mechanism in highly bureaucratic settings where employees defer accountability to systems, as noted by Thompson and Prottas (2020). Nevertheless, the stronger coefficient for internal locus of control highlights its dominant influence, reinforcing the idea that officers who believe in their capacity to shape work outcomes are more resilient, satisfied, and psychologically secure in demanding institutional environments (Murthy, 2020; Sutton, 2021).

Interestingly, after adding locus of control into the model, the significance of the "need" dimension diminished (p = .192), while "equity" and "equality" remained robust predictors. This finding aligns with equity-based theories of governance that prioritize procedural distributive fairness in shaping institutional trust and employee morale.

In governance contexts such as correctional institutions, these results underscore the importance of fostering both structural justice and psychological empowerment to ensure optimal employee wellbeing. Correctional officers operate in high-stress, high-risk settings that demand institutional fairness and personal agency to maintain operational integrity, mental health, and public accountability. The significant combined effects of distributive justice and locus of control affirm the broader public administration principle that effective institutions must balance procedural equity with individual autonomy to sustain organizational performance and personnel welfare.

# IV. CONCLUSION

examined the influence of study distributive justice and locus of control on the occupational wellbeing of correctional officers assigned at the Davao Prison and Penal Farm in Panabo City, Philippines. Findings revealed that distributive justice and occupational wellbeing were rated high by the respondents, while locus of control was assessed at a moderate level. Internal locus of control emerged as the psychological orientation dominant among officers, significantly contributing to their sense of professional competence, job satisfaction, and psychosocial resilience.

A strong, statistically significant correlation was between distributive justice found and occupational wellbeing, indicating that perceptions of fairness in workload, compensation, and resource distribution play a critical role in sustaining mental and emotional health among correctional personnel. While locus of control also demonstrated a significant relationship with occupational wellbeing, its influence was comparatively weaker. However, examined together through multiple regression analysis, distributive justice and locus of control jointly explained a substantial proportion of the variance in occupational wellbeing, affirming that both institutional individual structures and psychological orientations matter in the wellbeing of public safety professionals.

Specifically, dimensions of equity and equality within distributive justice and internal locus of control were identified as the most significant predictors. These findings affirm core governance principles: decision-making, fairness in accountability in resource allocation, and fostering environments that empower personnel foundational to cultivating a resilient, highperforming correctional workforce.

In contexts such as correctional institutions where occupational stress, role ambiguity, and emotional labor are common—the intersection of just institutional practices and psychologically empowered individuals becomes fulcrum for effective pivotal administration. As such, the study underscores the necessity for administrative reforms aimed at enhancing distributive fairness and promoting psychological empowerment strategies, such as leadership coaching, resilience training, autonomy-supportive supervision Ultimately, this study contributes to the growing discourse on ethical governance and institutional wellbeing, offering empirical evidence that the moral infrastructure of public institutions manifested through fair treatment and belief in agency—profoundly personal shapes occupational health and effectiveness of its frontliners.

#### **FUNDING**

No funding was received by the authors in the conduct of this study.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare no conflict of interest in any form in the conduct of this study.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adams, J. S. (2015). Equity theory. In Organizational Behavior 1 (pp. 134-158). Routledge.
- Adinew, Y. (2024). A comparative study on motivational strategies, organizational culture, and climate in public and private institutions. Current Psychology, 43(13), 11470-11492.
- [3] Albulescu, P., Macsinga, I., Rusu, A., Sulea, C., Bodnaru, A., & Tulbure, B. T. (2022). "Give me a break!" A systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy of micro-breaks for increasing well-being and performance. PLOS ONE, 17(8), e0272460.
- [4] Al-Douri, A. A. (2020). Distributive justice and job satisfaction. International Journal of Business Management, 8(1), 37-45.
- [5] Alkhudhayr, A., & Aljabr, Q. (2024). Behind the scenes: The realities stress in public service jobs. In IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1006279
- [6] Anderson, A. D. (2016). The relationship of locus of control and perceived stress with work performance [Doctoral dissertation, University of Phoenix].
- [7] Anugraheni, T. D., Izzah, L., & Hadi, M. S. (2023). Increasing the students' speaking ability through role-playing with Slovin's Formula sample size. Jurnal Studi Guru dan Pembelajaran, 6(3), 262-272.
- [8] Bala Subramanian, R., Srikanth, P. B., & Thakur, M. (2022). Influence of distributive justice on organizational citizenship behaviors: The mediating role of gratitude. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 974405. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.974405
- Barbieri, B., Mondo, M., De Simone, S., Pinna, R., Galletta, M., Pileri, J., & Bellini, D. (2024). Enhancing productivity at home: The role of

- smart work and organizational support in the public sector. Societies, 14(4), 50.
- [10] Bayandalai, U. (2023). Lead correctional officers' subjective reflections on what motivates them in maintaining their employment [Doctoral dissertation, Capella University].
- [11] Bhandari, P. (2023). What is quantitative research? Definition, uses & methods. Scribbr. https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/quantitative-
- [12] Bhagwagar, V. (2021). Positivity@ the workplace: Re-thinking what's relevant for better workplace design. Partridge Publishing.
- [13] Bowling, R. (2022). The experiences of Māori prison officers in Aotearoa New Zealand's prison system [Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University of Wellington].
- [14] Cao, Y., Chen, S., & Khuangga, R. (2023). CSR gap and organizational justice: Effects on employees' behaviors. Frontiers in Psychology. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.01234
- [15] Cavalieri, D. V. G. (2023). Compliance and mental health in the workplace: The need for an ethical commitment of leadership to prevent absenteeism due to psychic illness in public organisations. Journal of Law and Corruption Review, 5, e050.
- [16] Cerrato, S. (2024). Order or stability: The role of penal administrators in the transformation of instability within correctional institution. Deviant Behavior, 45(1), 26-49.
- [17] Chiang, I. A., Jhangiani, R. S., & Price, P. C. (2015). Overview of nonexperimental research. In Research methods in psychology. https://opentextbc.ca/researchmethods/chapter/overview-ofnonexperimental-research/
- [18] Colquitt, J. A., LePine, J. A., & Wesson, M. J. (2019). Organizational behavior: Improving performance and commitment in the workplace (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- [19] Curtis, E. A., Comiskey, C., & Dempsey, O. (2016). Importance and use of correlational research. Nurse Researcher, 23(6), 20-25. https://doi.org/10.7748/nr.2016.e1382
- [20] Dagasan, G., Kitapci, H., & Kilic, E. (2023). How TQM principles influence job performance in healthcare? The role of locus of control. Business and Economics Research Journal, 14(1), 73-86. https://doi.org/10.20409/berj.2023.404
- [21] Dandar, V. M., & Lautenberger, D. M. (2021). Organizational strategies to support the culture change necessary to sustain salary equity. In Closing the gender pay gap in medicine (pp. 63-76).
- [22] Felix, M. M. M., Lai, Y. L., Yang, Y., Wu, H. C., & Lo, T. Y. (2023). Factors shaping inmate trust in correctional officers in Taiwan: Do procedural justice and distributive justice really matter? Journal of Criminology, 56(2-3), 313-334.
- [23] Galvin, B. M., Randel, A. E., Collins, B. J., & Johnson, R. E. (2018). Changing the focus of locus (of control): A targeted review of the locus of control literature and agenda for future research. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 39(7), 820-833.
- [24] Gündüz, M., Duyar, V. D., & Örnekli, Y. (2020). The effect of organizational justice on employee well-being. Uluslararası Akademik Yönetim Bilimleri Dergisi, 6(8), https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/991823
- [25] Ha, T. S., & Moon, K. K. (2023). Organizational justice and employee voluntary absenteeism in public sector organizations: Disentangling the moderating roles of work motivation. Sustainability, 15(11), 8602.
- [26] Hamdani, S. (2022). The effect of organizational justice on employee performance through organizational citizenship employee behavior. http://repository.umsu.ac.id/bitstream/handle/123456789/2036
- [27] Harrison, K., Mason, R., Nichols, H., & Smith, L. (2024). Work, culture, and wellbeing among prison governors in England and Wales. Palgrave Macmillan.
- [28] Ho, L. H., & Chan, Y. H. (2022). Organizational justice as a job resource: A JD-R model perspective on occupational well-being. Journal of Business and Psychology, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-021-09751-7 *37*(3),
- [29] Honig, D. (2021). Supportive management practice and intrinsic motivation go together in the public service. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(13), e2015124118.
- [30] Hyatt, T. A. (1995). The effects of audit firm structure and auditors' locus of control on job stress, job satisfaction, and performance [Doctoral dissertation, University of Arizona].
- [31] Kay, K., Rogger, D., & Sen, I. (2020). Bureaucratic locus of control. Governance, 33(4), 871-896.
- [32] Lambert, E. G., Holbrook, M. A., Hogan, N. L., Wells, J. B., & Minor, K. I. (2021). Social support and its link to workplace trust among correctional staff: An exploratory research note. Journal of Applied Security Research, 16(2), 147-167.
- [33] McPherson, M., & Rudes, D. S. (2022). Carceral goals: The role of corrections officers in organizational goal attainment. In Research

- handbook on the sociology of organizations (pp. 73-86). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [34] McQueen, N. C. (2024). Mental health care and psychological helpseeking in correctional officers [Doctoral dissertation, Walden University].
- [35] Molines, M., Mifsud, M., El Akremi, A., & Perrier, A. (2022). Motivated to serve: A regulatory perspective on public service motivation and organizational citizenship behavior. Public Administration Review, 82(1), 102-116.
- [36] Mulgund, S. (2022). Importance of distributive justice, procedural justice and fairness in workplace. International Journal of Management and Humanities, 8(6), 1-6.
- [37] Murthy, L., Nigam, R., & Tapas, P. (2020). Locus of control impact on planned behaviour and decision making in working women. Elementary Education Online 19(4). 4393-4404 https://doi.org/10.17051/ilkonline.2020.04.764845
- [38] Naz, S., Khan, M. A., & Niazi, H. A. (2020). Influence of locus of control on job satisfaction: A case study on private sector employees. Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies, 8, 247–259.
- [39] Norman, M., & Ricciardelli, R. (2022). Operational and organisational stressors in community correctional work: Insights from probation and parole officers in Ontario, Canada. Probation Journal, 69(1), 86–106.
- [40] Nuzula, I. F., & Nurmaya, E. (2020). The influence of distributive justice, job satisfaction and affective commitment to organizational citizenship behavior. Research in Production and Development, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.32358/rpd.2020.v6.464
- [41] Palumbo, R. (2024). Turning into disengaged public servants: Examining the effects of discrimination on work engagement. Public Organization Review, 1-24.
- [42] Reknes, I., Visockaite, G., Liefooghe, A., Lovakov, A., & Einarsen, S. V. (2019). Locus of control moderates the relationship between exposure to bullying behaviors and psychological strain. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 1323. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01323
- [43] Saha, R., Cerchione, R., & Singh, R. (2019). Distributive justice in organizational settings: Bridging the gap between theory and practice. Journal of Organizational Justice, 45(2), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joj.2019.234547
- [44] Saah, Q. (2024). Providing mental health services to inmates in United States correctional facilities during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic literature review [Doctoral dissertation, University of Arizona Global Campus].
- [45] Stehman, S. V. (2012). Impact of sample size allocation when using stratified random sampling to estimate accuracy and area of land-cover change. Remote Sensing Letters, 3(2), 111-120.
- [46] Stinglhamber, F., Nguyen, N., Josse, M., & Demoulin, S. (2022). The development of prison officers' job satisfaction and its impact on depersonalization of incarcerated persons: The role of organizational dehumanization. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 49(11), 1600-1617.
- [47] Stivers, C., Pandey, S. K., DeHart-Davis, L., Hall, J. L., Newcomer, K., Portillo, S., ... & Wright, J. (2023). Beyond social equity: Talking social justice in public administration. Public Administration Review, 83(2), 229-240.
- [48] Sutton, J. (2021). The locus of control: Tests, scales & questionnaires. PositivePsychology.com. https://positivepsychology.com/locus-ofcontrol-test/
- [49] Thompson, C. A., & Prottas, D. J. (2020). The moderating effect of locus of control on the relationship between work-family conflict and occupational well-being. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 50(3), 120-130. https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12644
- [50] Thompson-Powell, C. M. (2024). The effects of procedural justice on conflict caused by leader favoritism in the workplace [Doctoral dissertation, National University].
- [51] Waza, A. M., & Ekambaker, P. K. (2024). Analysis of the impact of justice theory in public administration. International Journal of Integrative Research, 2(2), 145–156.
- [52] Wills, C., Bates, K., Frost, N. A., & Monteiro, C. E. (2022). Barriers to help-seeking among correction officers: Examining the influence of institutional culture and structure. In Promoting wellness and resiliency in correctional officers (pp. 71–88). Routledge.
- [53] Yuenger, M. (2023). Distributive justice perceptions and job satisfaction. International Journal of Organizational Behavior, 11(2),